



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/631,550	04/12/96	JOHNSON, Michael A. et al	49286USA3F
08/421,055	04/12/95		49286USA9C

EXAMINER

Gallagher

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1301

20

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Michael A. Johnson (3) Ms. Amy Watson
(2) Ms. Dorothy P. Whelan (4)

Date of interview 7 November 1996

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: SAMPLE OF ROOF DITCHES WITH
INVESTIVE COMPOSITE APPLIED THEREIN

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: NONE IN PARTICULAR/DETAIL

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE IN PARTICULAR/DETAIL

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: THE DISCUSSION CENTERED
MAINLY ON A PRESENTATION BY MR. JOHNSON (ONE OF THE INVENTORS) WHICH (A)
OUTLINED THE HISTORY LEADING UP TO THE INVENTIVE DISCOVERY, AND (B)
EMPHASIZED THAT IT WAS THE COMBINATION OF A DIMENSIONALLY STABLE (e.g.,
BAKING FILM WITH A MULTILAYER/FLOWABLE UNDERLAYER THAT FORMED THE
UNDERLYING INVENTIVE CONCEPT. NO FIRM COMMITMENT BY THE EXAMINER TO
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be
attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
RECONSIDER HIS POSITION BASED ON THIS INTERVIEW AND THE FORTHCOMING RESPONSE.

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT
WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office
action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and
requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the
response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless
box 1 above is also checked.